

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAY 14 2007

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Applicants:	Earl David Brock et al.	Docket No.:	16,905
Serial No.:	10/028,338	T.C./A.U.:	3761
Confirmation No.:	2861	Examiner:	Kidwell, Michele M.
Filed:	December 22, 2001	Date:	May 14, 2007
For:	SYSTEM FOR IMPROVING SKIN HEALTH OF ABSORBENT ARTICLE WEARERS		

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the attached correspondence comprising:

Reply Brief (1 page)

2 total pages, including this page

is being transmitted on May 14, 2007 to the United States Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Fax No. 571-273-8300.

Judith M. Anderson

(Typed name of person transmitting correspondence)


(Signature of person transmitting correspondence)

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

MAY 14 2007

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Appellants:	Earl David Brock et al.	Docket No.:	16,905
Serial No.:	10/028,338	T.C./A.U.:	3761
Confirmation No:	2861	Examiner:	Kidwell, Michele M
Filed:	December 22, 2001	Date:	May 14, 2007
For:	SYSTEM FOR IMPROVING SKIN HEALTH OF ABSORBENT ARTICLE WEARERS		

Reply Brief

This is a reply to the Examiner's Answer mailed March 27, 2007.

The Examiner contends that Appellants' arguments are not commensurate with the scope of the claims. To the contrary, Appellants' arguments focus on the fact that the prior art references do not teach a system comprising a disposable absorbent article having a specific skin care composition on the bodyside liner used in conjunction with a wet wipe having a specific skin care solution. The Appellants reference "leveraging chemistries" and "complete synergistic systems" to illustrate the criticality of this specific system. None of the prior art references appreciate the criticality of the specific system and therefore provide no motivation to combine the references without impermissible hindsight.

Therefore, in addition to the reason set forth in Appellants' Appeal Brief, the rejections of the claims on appeal are submitted to be in error for the reasons set forth above. Appellants do not believe that any fee is due. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or overpayment of any fees to Deposit Account No. 11-0875. The undersigned may be reached at 920-721-3016.

Respectfully submitted,

EARL DAVID BROCK ET AL.

By: 

David J. Arteman, Attorney for Appellants,
Registration No. 44,512